

BuySpeed-BidSync Integration

Greg Higgins & Teresa Isensee

Summary

- Integration between PHI's BuySpeed product and BidSync Links/Links+ product: Periscope Supplier Network (PSN)
- Provides for broader distribution of solicitations throughout PHI's customer base
- Benefits vendors
- Easy to configure
- Available in BuySpeed software versions 12 and above







Integration Value Points

Solicitations Vendor Registration Vendor Opportunities Distribution of Solicitations Vendor registration simplified Access to opportunities across

Distribution of Solicitations broadened through PSN Vendor registration simplified for existing Links/Links+ customers Access to opportunities across PHI's client base



Solicitations



- All BSO solicitations posted in BidSync
- Nightly notifications to PSN vendors on opportunities (through BidSync)
- Ability to add BidSync vendors to solicitations for direct notifications

Vendor Registration



- Potential to broaden your own vendor pool
- Eases registration for existing Links/Links+ vendors

Vendor Opportunities



- Click through establishes new Links account for vendors
- Access to BSO solicitations across all customers
- Option to upgrade account for broad array of public sector opportunities
- Broaden opportunities for special vendors

How We Support Implementation

- Collateral Material (briefs, email blast scripts, etc.)
- PSN Vendor lists/analysis
- Within geographical area
- Special vendors within geographical area
- Call Center support for your vendors
- Toolkit and webinars for PSN members to educate and improve responses







Vendor Performance

Etolia Biggs



Snapshot of Vendor Performance

- Vendor Performance in BuySpeed
- Outcomes and Benefits
- System Demonstration
- Configuration Options



Vendor Performance



What is it?

A module that allows system users to document performance of the vendor against a Purchase Order

How Does it Work?

- Standardizes departmental documentation and rating of vendor evaluations
- Notifies Procurement Department of documentation
- Allows vendor feedback (if desired)
- Provides standard dashboard and ad hoc reports





Vendor Performance Documentation

Department Users/Purchasing Users

- Document compliance
- Document deficiencies
- Recommend resolutions

Vendor Users

- Respond to Agency documentation
- Communicate resolutions

Purchasing Users

- Approve documents
- Provide initial and final determination





Vendor Performance Outcomes

Vendor accountability

Standard documentation

Single source for performance history

Pre-qualification data

Shared dashboard with performance index





VP System Flow

- Creates VP document
- Attaches evidence of findings, cure letters, contractor evaluation forms, etc.
- Initial determination

Agency

- Vendor

- Enters comments
- Submits a resolution
- Attaches documentation

- Selects Status (Resolved, Not Resolved)
- Sets Final Determination
 - Examples:
 - Compliant
 - Non-Compliant
 - Compliant but needs
 improvement



evalve'17

System Demo

Configuration Decisions



Category code

- Criteria to be evaluated
- Ex. Contractor Performance, Technical Ability

Performance Evaluation Code

- Weighted scale (0-5)
- Ex. Poor, Fair, Very Good, Excellent

Determination Code

- Agency initial and final assessment
- Ex. Action Required, No Action Required, Compliant, Non-Compliant

VP Dashboard



evəlve'17

Vendor Performance Recap



Agency Standards





Agency Defined Criteria

Agency/Vendor Transparency



20





BuySpeed-Vendor Category Integration

Jen Griesse & Greg Higgins

Summary

- Integration between PHI's BuySpeed product and client vendor tracking systems
- Provides data consistency between systems with no manual intervention
- Currently in use in Illinois, City of Philadelphia and City of Portland, OR
- Batch updates to BSO vendor records
- Easy to configure and test
- Available in BuySpeed software versions 13 and above



Integration Points



Inbound file with vendor certification data

Outbound file(s) to B2GNow to provide data on Bid Awards and subcontractors



Technical Features

- Batch integration
- File transferred securely (e.g., SFTP)
- Inbound flat file (txt)
- Background configuration of mapping of file data



Other Integrations

- MA: SBPP
- NJ: SAVI & AA-EEOC
- Ability to leverage new standard integration across several vendor categories







Integration Best Practices and the Future

Malcolm Bellon & Jacob Sulpice

Integration Overview

Standard Integration Formatted Document Interface Standard XML status change Perform XSLT XML request objects request invokes Transformation sent via populated generated interface Transport Configurable module chain Formatted Perform XSLT Interface Standard XML Document XML response Transformation status change objects response received via populated to standard cont. generated Transport



Batch Integrations





Dynamic Web Service and XSLT Configuration

Interface Settings			
Transport Settings			
PreEncumbrance	Encumbrance		
Transport Type: 🔘 None 🖲 SOAP 🔘 XML over HTTP	Transport Type: 🔘 None 🖲 SOAP 🔘 XML over HTTP		
URL:	URL:		
Service Name:	Service Name:		
Port Name:	Port Name:		
Name Space:	Name Space:		
SOAP Action:	SOAP Action:		
Username:	Username:		
Password:	Password:		
Test Connection	Test Connection		
Import XSLT Files:	Import XSLT Files		
Request: Browse No file selected. Upload	Request: Browse_ No file selected. Upload		
Response: Browse No file selected. Upload	Response: Browse_ No file selected. Upload		



Data Mapping and Conversion



Periscope has been building integrations to external systems for years.

What have we learned?

3 Keys to a Successful Integration Project





What are the most common challenges faced by integration projects?

Requirements Challenges





Development Challenges



Development resources are in demand. Can be difficult to schedule dev time as part of a project without conflicts. Development resources and code maintenance can be expensive. This increases the cost of the integrations. The customer told the integration designer... who told the developer...



Testing Challenges



Integrations require thorough, extended testing. There can be a back-and-forth where issues are discovered and need to be resolved in development.

Although it is valuable, in that it results in a better end-product, the back-and-forth takes time and can have adverse impact on a project schedule.



How can we use technology to change our approach and provide better solutions?

Project Jigsaw "Putting the Pieces Together"





What is it?

Project Jigsaw is an initiative to :

- Enable an integration designer to construct integrations using high-level components and the latest in data processing and tooling (ETL), <u>without developer</u> <u>involvement</u>.
- Enable rapid interface prototyping, composition, testing, and deployment.
- Increase resiliency and scalability of integrations thru a shared architecture.
- Reduce integration time.



evalve'17

Conceptualization



Architectural Requirements







Jigsaw will need to support the elements requested by clients for successful integration.

Data Input / Output Support	Data Transformation	Additional Needs
 Protocols – FTP, SFTP, HTTP, HTTPS Flat File - Delimited, Fixed Width Format – Text, XML, JSON Web Service - RESTful, SOAP/WSDL Database – SQL, NoSQL 	 Intuitive data mapping UI Function library for Data Transform Scripting language support Conversion of data from one supported format to another 	 Scheduling Support for sending email on success / failure Transaction logging Security



How does this solution help?

Requirements Challenges



Collaboration is Key The designer that is working with the stakeholders will construct the integration using the new platform. That designer possesses the knowledge and context necessary to most successfully implement the integration.

Assumed Knowledge and Process As requirements are discovered, as either part of design or testing, the designer will be able to triage, discuss the changes with stakeholders, and make necessary changes quickly.





Development Challenges



The designer can begin prototyping the integration even before requirements gathering is 100% complete. Leveraging existing components allows for rapid development and delivery. A shared infrastructure also reduces cost. The customer told the integration designer... who built the solution.





The integration designer can be on-hand and make "live changes" during initial testing. This provides rapid feedback and allows integration issues to be resolved quickly.







Questions or Thoughts?







Advanced Business Intelligence Solutions

Alex Ladik with Dede Preno

Approach



- Introduction and Access: Getting to know your Team @ Periscope
- Business Intelligence v14
- Solution scenario: City of Philadelphia
- Q&A



Implementation Team Dynamics



Alex Ladik – Technical Dede Preno – Functional

- Experience and Solutions for 50+ clients ranging from Statewide to Private Entity
- Consultative Approach
- DiSC/TopGrading certifications
- Database/Coding Architectural Fluency for BI solutions

Advanced Solution: City of Philadelphia

Identification of Challenge

- Integration with the B2G Now system
- Send contract information
- Specific formatting of file for loading Requirement

Challenge Breakdown

- Utilizing the Standard Report functionality
- Customization needs

Resulting Solution & Application





Resulting Solution & Application

Customized the Delimiter

Outputs exact CSV file to SFTP

B2G Now has necessary requirements for extraction and data integration

- Import file scheduling
- Potential for BI solutions for current side systems using this strategy



